Foundations of FinTech

Blockchain

Eshwar Venugopal

Blockchain

Nuts and Bolts

Blockchain: Nuts and Bolts

- To understand how a Blockchain works, we need to understand the following:
	- Cryptographic hash functions
	- Hash pointers
	- Digital signatures
	- Identity management in anonymous systems
	- Distributed consensus
		- Incentive engineering for honesty

Cryptographic Hash Functions

- A hash function is a mathematical function with the following properties:
	- Its input can be any string of any size.
	- It produces a fixed size output.
	- It is efficiently computable.
		- Means that for a given input string, you can figure out what the output of the hash function is in a reasonable amount of time.
		- Computing the hash of an n-bit string should have a running time that is $O(n)$

Cryptographic Hash Functions

- For a hash function to be cryptographically secure, it has the following additional properties:
	- Collision-resistance
	- Hiding
	- Puzzle‐friendliness
- Hash functions in Blockchain:
	- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2BldESGZKB8>
	- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lik9aaFIsl4>

Cryptographic Hash Functions: Collision‐resistance

- *A hash function H is said to be collision resistant if it is infeasible to find two values, x and y, such that* $x \neq y$ *, yet H(x) = H(y).*
- A collision occurs when two distinct inputs produce the same output.

• A hash function H(.) is collision-resistant if nobody can find a collision

Cryptographic Hash Functions: Collision‐resistance

- Given that inputs can be of any length and the output length is fixed, most hash functions are guaranteed to have a collision input pair
- Assume that we have a 256-bit output size
	- Pick $(2^{256} + 1)$ distinct inputs and calculate hash values (Inputs>outputs)
	- We are bound to find a colliding pair
	- If we randomly input $(2^{130} + 1)$, probability of collision is 99.8%
		- Birthday paradox
- It takes one octillion (10^{27}) years to calculate 2^{128} hashes at 10,000 hashes/sec rate.
- At such small odds, we can be confident that nobody will find a collision for this 256-bit hash function.
- Bitcoin uses SHA 256

Cryptographic Hash Functions: Hiding

- *A hash function H is hiding if: when a secret value r is chosen from a probability distribution that has high min‐entropy , then given H(r ‖ x) it is infeasible to find x.*
- That is, If we're given the output of the hash function $y = H(x)$, there's no feasible way to figure out what the input, x , was.
	- This is easier said than done!
- Assume we are hashing results of a "head or tails" game.
	- If an adversary knew what game is being played, he can figure out the results simply by observing a few hash outputs

Cryptographic Hash Functions: Hiding

- To avoid this, we can concatenate a small variable (r, also called nonce) to the original message (input to the hash function)
- 'r' must be chosen from a probability distribution that has high min-entropy
	- In information theory, Min-entropy is a measure of how predictable an outcome is.
	- High min-entropy means that the distribution is spread out
	- E.g., if 'r' is chosen uniformly from all strings of 256-bit length
		- Then choosing any one value has a probability of $1/2^{256}$

Cryptographic Hash Functions: Puzzle Friendliness

- *H(.) is puzzle‐friendly if for every possible n‐bit output value y, if k is chosen from a distribution with high min‐entropy, then it is infeasible to find x such that H(k || x) = y in time significantly less than* 2^n .
- If someone wants to target the hash function to come out to some particular output value 'y', that if there's part of the input that is chosen in a suitably randomized way, it's very difficult to find another value that hits exactly that target.
	- That is, there's no solving strategy for this puzzle which is much better than just trying random values of X .
	- So, if we want to pose a puzzle that's difficult to solve, we can do it this way as long as we can generate 'k' in a suitably random way.

Hash Function: SHA-256

- Bitcoin uses SHA-256 for:
	- Mining as Proof of work algorithm
	- Creation of bitcoin addresses to improve security and privacy
- Recollect that a good hash function has the following properties:
	- Its input can be any string of any size.
	- It produces a fixed size output.
	- It is efficiently computable.
	- Collision-resistance
	- Hiding
	- Puzzle‐friendliness
- Note: not all properties are necessary for every use of hash functions

Hash Function: SHA-256

- Sample hash outputs:
	- This is a test for FinTech class at UCF
		- Output: db1793a70838f7aec96b52fec1578d39692357430cc989998c5d9deddce8b044
	- This is a test for finTech class at UCF
		- Output: 09005cad4898515eeee1510c04b827cbbbf3a042eb108d49509754a9ffe7c852
	- This is a test for FinTech class at UCF 00
		- Output: 178a2b625f463da74b3cd3dfc652248983c67f650cd74fe3a8203e8f36d5269e
- SHA-256 satisfies required properties all *except the first one.*
- SHA-256 can only take inputs of fixed length (768-bit)

Bitcoin's Hash Function: SHA-256

- Merkle-Damgard Transform can be used to convert arbitrary length inputs into fixed length.
- Example: SHA-256 takes 768-bit input and produces 256-bit outputs
	- Divide the input into (768-256) 512-bit length blocks
	- Pass each 512-bit length block with the 256-bit hash output of the previous block
		- Total length is 768-bit and each block is chained to the previous one
		- For the first block, pass an Initialization vector (IV)
- Hashing process

Take a look at:<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=s7arHByjSOw>

Data Structure: Hash Pointers

• Hash pointer is a pointer to where data is stored with a cryptographic hash of the value of *the data at some point in time.*

• Blockchain is a series of blocks, where each block has data and a hash pointer to the previous block. $H($ ₁)

• *Essentially, a blockchain is a linked list that is build with hash pointers instead of pointers.*

Data Structure: Hash Pointers

- This method of appending data to the end of a log with hash pointer to previous block makes blockchains "tamper-evident" .
- Suppose someone tampers with the data in block 'b'
- Then the hash in block 'b+1' will not represent the data in block 'b'

Digital Signatures

- Online equivalent of physical signature
- Properties required:
	- Unique to a person/account, so it can be verified
	- Specific to a document, so copy-paste can be avoided
- Digital signatures are based on asymmetric cryptography.
- They provide evidence of:
	- Origin
	- Identity
	- Status of electronic documents transactions, messages, etc.

Digital Signatures

- Digital signatures consist of three algorithms:
	- *(sk, pk) := generateKeys(keysize)* [Randomized algorithm]
		- The generateKeys method takes a key size and generates a key pair.
		- The secret key 'sk' is kept privately and used to sign messages.
		- 'pk' is the public verification key that you give to everybody. Anyone with this key can verify your signature.
	- *sig := sign(sk , message)* [Randomized algorithm]
		- The sign method takes a message and a secret key, 'sk' , as input and outputs a signature for message under 'sk'
	- *isValid := verify(pk , message , sig)* [Deterministic algorithm]
		- The verify method takes a message, a signature, and a public key as input.
		- It returns a boolean value, isValid , that will be *True if sig is a valid signature* for message under public key 'pk' , and false otherwise.

Digital Signatures: Properties

- We require that the following two properties hold:
	- Valid signatures must verify. *verify (pk , message , sign(sk , message)) == true*
		- People should be able to verify that the signature is yours
	- Signatures are *existentially unforgeable*
		- It should be computationally infeasible to forge your signature on new messages/documents
		- Remember that attackers can only observe public keys
		- Sign algorithm need to have a good source of randomness
		- In blockchains, it is better to sign a hash pointer

Digital Signatures: ECDSA

- Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA)
	- A U.S. government standard and believed to be secure after considerable testing
	- Bitcoin uses "secp256k1" with ECDSA that provides 128-bits of security
		- Need to perform 2^{128} symmetric-key cryptographic operations (hash function calculations) to break
	- More common curve is "secp256r1"

Identity Management: Public keys

- Public keys (pk) of a digital signature are observable
- To use a public key, the user (say U1) must also have the secret key (sk)
- So, any message signed with U1's pk is a message from U1
	- 'pk' is the digital identity of user U1
- In the context of Bitcoin, your "address" is just the hash of your public key
- This is *decentralized identity management*
	- You do not have to inform a central server of your username
- Note that this is not complete anonymity
	- Based on history, people can tie messages to a 'pk'; but less likely to a person

Double-spending and Centralization

- Two issues that have plagued cryptocurrencies before Bitcoin are:
	- Double spending
	- Requirement of a central party to verify transactions
- Making a blockchain append-only and immutable partly solves the double-spending problem
	- People cannot remove previous records
	- So, it is easier to look at the history and check if someone is trying to double-spend
- But, who is going to perform this verification?
	- Older solutions appointed a trusted central player
	- That is too much power in the hands of one entity

Decentralization

- What is required to move away from centralization?
	- A way for all users to agree on history of the ledger
	- A way to agree which transactions are valid
	- Ability to incentivize users to participate in verification
- Decentralization is the end result

Decentralization in Bitcoin

- Let us break down the question of how the Bitcoin protocol achieves decentralization into five more specific questions:
	- Who maintains the ledger of transactions?
	- Who has authority over which transactions are valid?
	- Who creates new bitcoins?
	- Who determines how the rules of the system change?
	- How do bitcoins acquire exchange value?
- Let us focus on the first three questions that reflect the technical details of the Bitcoin protocol
- The last two are market-based questions

Distributed Consensus Protocol

- Decentralization means that data is stored/distributed across different servers.
	- Facebook, for example, stores data in numerous servers across the world
- There has to be a way for these servers to sync with each other and maintain a reliable copy of the data.
- Distributed consensus protocol: There are n nodes that each have an input value. Some of these nodes are faulty or malicious. A distributed consensus protocol has the following two properties:
	- It must terminate with all honest nodes in agreement on the value
	- The value must have been generated by an honest node

Distributed Consensus Protocol: Objective

- Nodes have to reach a consensus on:
	- Which transactions were broadcast
	- The order of transactions (which form a block)

Distributed Consensus Protocol: Process

At the beginning:

- Each node will have a copy of the ledger (i.e., list of blocks on which they have reached consensus)
- Users broadcast their requests across the P2P network
	- So, in addition to consensus blocks, nodes will have a list of transactions that are not part of any block
		- These transactions have to be assembled into a block
		- And, consensus has to be reached before the block can be added to the blockchain
- Each node will propose its own set of outstanding transactions to be the next block
- Nodes will execute a consensus protocol and a valid block will be added to the chain
- Even if some transactions were not included in the current block, they will added in the following blocks

Distributed Consensus Protocol: Issues

- Reaching consensus is not easy:
	- P2P networks are imperfect (not all nodes are connected)
	- Latency is an issue
	- Hardware could crash
	- Deliberate attacks have to be overcome (not all nodes may be honest)
- Latency in the network means that there is no global time
	- Makes if-then kind of transactions difficult to execute
- Dis-honest nodes can lead to breakdown
	- *Byzantine Generals Problem:* The Byzantine army is divided into several divisions and commanded by generals. They communicate via messengers. Some generals may be traitors and compromise a joint attack plan. If one-third of the generals are traitors, there can never be a unified plan [\(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kZXXDp0_R-w](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kZXXDp0_R-w))
	- *Sybil Attacks: [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-EKhIBUQjcA)-EKhIBUQjcA*
	- *Fischer-Lynch-Paterson impossibility result:* showed that there can never be consensus if even one node is corrupt.

Distributed Consensus Protocol: Bitcoin solution

- Bitcoin achieves consensus by violating assumptions in traditional consensus models:
	- It introduced the concept of incentives
	- It does away with the notion of specific starting and ending point for consensus
	- It relies on randomization

- Simplified Bitcoin consensus algorithm:
	- New transactions are broadcast to all nodes
	- Each node collects new transactions into a block
	- In each round a *random* node gets to broadcast its block
	- Other nodes accept the block only if all transactions in it are valid (unspent, valid signatures)
	- Nodes express their acceptance of the block by including its hash in the next block they create

Bitcoin solution: Incentives

- The incentive for adding blocks (verifying transactions) to the blockchain are:
	- Block rewards
		- At the beginning, the reward was 50BTC
		- It halves every 4 years or 210,000 blocks
		- It is currently 6.25BTC
	- Transaction fees
		- Creators of transactions can choose to pay fees
		- Given that block rewards will soon be too low, fees will become a prominent incentive for miners to include a transaction in a block

• See paper- *StableFees: A Predictable Fee Market for Cryptocurrencies*, by Basu, Easley, O'hara & Sirer (2020)

Bitcoin solution: Long-term consensus

- Even though consensus has to be reached for each block, the transaction is not considered as confirmed immediately.
- Typically, a transaction is said to be confirmed after the block it contains has received *multiple confirmations*
	- That is, multiple blocks have to be added after the current block
	- 6 confirmation is the current heuristic
	- So, typically prudent service providers will wait for multiple confirmations before providing the service
- Similarly, *a node will get its block reward only after it has received multiple confirmations and is part of the longest chain*
	- Note that since blocks are sequentially added, the probability of a block becoming part of the longest chain increases exponentially after every confirmation

Bitcoin solution: Randomization

- There are multiple issues here:
	- A node has to be randomly chosen to propose a block
	- Incentives can lead to a rat race
	- Attackers with multiple nodes will try to subvert consensus
- Mining is the solution for all these issues:
	- Proof-of-work
	- Proof-of-stake
	- Casper
	- Gas
	- Steem
- For more Bitcoin solution see https:// bitcoin.org/en/developer-documentation

Bitcoin solution: Randomization & Mining

- Instead of explicitly selecting random nodes, mining allows us to approximate randomization
- Nodes are selected in proportion to the resource a node commands
	- Computing power: proof-of-work
	- Ownership: proof-of-stake
- In proof-of-work, nodes are competing against each other to solve a moderately difficult problem (hash puzzles in bitcoin)
- In bitcoin
	- The node that is proposing a block is required to find a number (aka nonce) that satisfies the following:
	- $H(none||prev_{hash}||tx||tx ... ||tx) < target$
	- Target is determined by the prevailing difficulty levels
- *This process of repeatedly trying to solve the above puzzle is called mining.*

Bitcoin solution: Randomization & Mining

- The mechanism behind proof-of-work simultaneously solves two problems.
	- First, it provides an effective consensus algorithm, allowing nodes in the network to collectively agree on a set of updates to the state of the Bitcoin ledger.
	- Second, it provides a mechanism for allowing free entry into the consensus process, solving the political problem of deciding who gets to influence the consensus, while simultaneously preventing Sybil attacks— that is, attacks where a reputation system is subverted by forging identities in peer-to-peer networks.
- An alternative approach is proof- of-stake, calculating the weight of a node as being proportional to its currency holdings and not its computational resources.
	- Ethereum contemplated a shift to Proof-of-Stake by mid-2019.
	- The merge was postponed multiple times and finally happened on September 15, 2022.
		- For more read: <https://ethereum.org/en/upgrades/merge/>